251 North Avenue West, 2nd Floor Westfield, NJ 07090

13164 Lazy Glen Lane Oak Hill, Virginia 20171

Mayer, Fortkort & Williams, PC Attorneys At Law

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER NOV 2 2 2004



To:	Commis	sioner for Patent	s	From:	David Bonham (703) 433-0510	
Faxc	703-872	703-872-9318			Total pages: 4	
Phone	:			Date	11/22/2004	
Re:	In connection with the prosecution in Serial. No. 10/648,763 please find enclosed a Response to the Office Action of October 20, 2004.					
	Sincerely,					
	David Bo	David Bonham				
□ Urg	jent 🗆	For Review	☐ Please Comment	□ Please Rep	iy 🗆 Please Recycle	
	F	PRIVACY AI	ND CONFIDENTIA	LITY NOTI	CE	
for the intende	use of the li ed recipient,	ndividual or entity : , you are hereby	to whom it is addressed and	others authorized	privileged. It is intended solely to receive it. If you are not the tion or taking of any action in	
			error, please immediately no ments to us at the above add		telephone cell to the writer and distates postal service.	

Serial No. 10/648,763

RECEIVED

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

NOV 2 2 2004

1st Inventor:

Jeffrey Alan Silvernail

Serial No.:

10/648,763

Filed:

08/25/03

Title:

PROTECTED ORGANIC ELECTRONIC DEVICE STRUCTURES

INCORPORATING PRESSURE SENSITIVE ADHESIVE AND

DESSICANT

Art Unit:

2826

Examiner:

Tran, Tan N.

Docket No.: UDC-27001

VIA FACSIMILE 703-872-9318 Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

This is responsive to the Office Action mailed October 20, 2004 in the above matter.

In that Office Action, restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121.

- I. Claims 1-21 drawn to an organic electronic device structure
- Π. Claims 22-31 drawn to a method of manufacturing an organic electronic device structure

Applicant hereby elects the invention of Group I, with traverse, for initial prosecution on the merits. This election is respectfully made with traverse, because it is believed that a search and examination of the application can be made without serious burden.

Furthermore, it is noted that claim 1 links inventions I and II, and that the restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the non-allowance of the